

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,044	04/24/2001	Minoru Suzuki	P20502	3696
7055	7590 11/26/2003		EXAM	INER .
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			HESS, BRUCE H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1774	
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/26/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	ļ
	-



209 h

	Application No. 044 Applicantis) UK: of al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	Bruce Hess 1774
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . Statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	. (\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Status I - 2 3 Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-03 (Hmendment)
☑ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
© Claim(s) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
∀ -Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Claim(s) \(\begin{align*} \text{V} - 22	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
1 1	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	
	requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent D	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. isapproved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. isapproved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. r. r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. jected to by the Examiner. r. runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. jected to by the Examiner. r. runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoo The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtoo The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1 received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.

Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-16 are allowed

2. Claims 17-22 are rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting for the reasons of record.

As noted in the last office action, the parameters recited at the end of claim 17 would be expected to affect heat transfer. As examples, see U.S.P. 5,258,350 at column 3, lines 50-5 wherein respectively color-developing layer thickness and microcapsule size are listed as result-effective heat transfer parameters.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (703) 308-2402.

B. Hess/lap

November 12, 2003

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bruse Han